

Four-jet production in kt-factorization: single and double parton scattering*

MIRKO SERINO[†]

Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow

We present a preliminary study of both Single and Double Parton Scattering contributions to the inclusive 4-jet production in the kt-factorization framework at Leading Order and $E_{CM} = 7$ TeV. We compare our results to collinear results in the literature and to the ATLAS and CMS data at 8 and 7 TeV respectively. We also discuss the importance of double parton scattering for relatively soft cuts on the jet transverse momenta and find out that symmetric cuts do not quite suit well to kt-factorization predictions, because of a kinematic effect suppressing the double parton scattering contribution.

PACS numbers: 13.87.Ce, 12.38.Bx, 12.38.Qk

1. Introduction

We employ fully gauge-invariant amplitudes with initial state off-shell particle to assess both the Single Parton Scattering and Double Parton Scattering contributions to four-jet production. This allows us to expand the analysis of Ref. [1] and assess the differences between the collinear approach and the high-energy factorization (HEF) (or k_T -factorization).

2. Calculations and comparison to experimental data

2.1. Single-parton scattering production of four jets

The collinear factorization formula for the calculation of the inclusive partonic 4-jet cross section reads

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{4-jets}^B = & \sum_{i,j} \int \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \frac{dx_2}{x_2} x_1 f_i(x_1, \mu_F) x_2 f_j(x_2, \mu_F) \\ & \times \frac{1}{2\hat{s}} \prod_{l=1}^4 \frac{d^3 k_l}{(2\pi)^3 2E_l} \Theta_{4-jet} (2\pi)^4 \delta \left(x_1 P_1 + x_2 P_2 - \sum_{l=1}^4 k_l \right) \overline{|\mathcal{M}(i, j \rightarrow 4 \text{ part.})|^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

* Presented at XXII Cracow Epiphany Conference on the Physics in LHC Run 2

[†] presenter

Here $f_i(x_{1,2}, \mu_F)$ are the collinear PDFs for the i -th parton, carrying $x_{1,2}$ momentum fractions of the proton and evaluated at the factorization scale μ_F ; the index l runs over the four partons in the final state, P is the total initial state partonic momentum, associated to the center of mass energy $\hat{s} = P^2 = (P_i + P_j)^2 = 2 P_i \cdot P_j$; the Θ function takes into account the kinematic cuts applied and \mathcal{M} is the partonic on-shell matrix element, which includes symmetrization effects due to identity of particles in the final state.

The analogous formula to (2.1) for HEF is

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{4-jets}^B &= \sum_{i,j} \int \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \frac{dx_2}{x_2} d^2 k_{T1} d^2 k_{T2} \mathcal{F}_i(x_1, k_{T1}, \mu_F) \mathcal{F}_j(x_2, k_{T2}, \mu_F) \\ &\times \frac{1}{2\hat{s}} \prod_{l=1}^4 \frac{d^3 k_l}{(2\pi)^3 2E_l} \Theta_{4-jet} (2\pi)^4 \delta \left(x_1 P_1 + x_2 P_2 + \vec{k}_{T1} + \vec{k}_{T2} - \sum_{l=1}^4 k_l \right) \overline{|\mathcal{M}(i^*, j^* \rightarrow 4 \text{ part.})|^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Here $\mathcal{F}_i(x_k, k_{Tk}, \mu_F)$ is a transverse momentum dependent (TMD) parton distribution function for a given type of parton. Similarly as in the collinear factorization case, x_k is the longitudinal momentum fraction and μ_F is a factorization scale. The new degree of freedom is introduced via the transverse k_{Tk} , which is perpendicular to the collision axis. The formula is valid when the x 's are not too large and not too small and, in order to construct a full set of TMD parton densities, we apply the Kimber-Martin-Ryskin (KMR) prescription [2,3], which, at the end of the day, amounts to applying the Sudakov form factor onto the PDFs.

$\mathcal{M}(i^*, j^* \rightarrow 4 \text{ part.})$ is the gauge invariant matrix element for $2 \rightarrow 4$ particle scattering with two initial off-shell legs. We rely on the numerical Dyson-Schwinger recursion in the AVHLIB¹ for its computation. If complete calculation of 4-jet production in kt-factorization was still missing in the literature, it was mainly because computing gauge-invariant amplitudes with off-shell legs is definitely non trivial. Techniques to compute such amplitudes in gauge invariant ways are by now analytically and numerically well established [4–6].

We use a running α_s provided with the MSTW2008 PDF sets and set both the renormalization and factorization scales equal to half the transverse energy, which is the sum of the final state transverse momenta, $\mu_F = \mu_R = \frac{\hat{H}_T}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^4 k_T^l$, working in the $n_F = 5$ flavour scheme.

There are 19 different channels contributing to the cross section at the parton-level, of which the dominant ones, contributing together to $\sim 93\%$ of the total cross section, are

$$gg \rightarrow gggg, gg \rightarrow q\bar{q}gg, qq \rightarrow qggg, q\bar{q} \rightarrow q\bar{q}gg, qq \rightarrow qqgg, qq' \rightarrow qq'gg. \quad (2.3)$$

2.2. Double-parton scattering production of four jets

The SPD contribution is expected to dominate for high momentum transfer, because, as it is intuitively clear as well, it is highly unlikely that two partons from one proton and

¹ available for download at <https://bitbucket.org/hameren/avhlib>

two from the other one are energetic enough for two hard scatterings to take place, as the well-known behaviour of the PDFs for large momentum fractions suggests. However, if the cuts on the transverse momenta of the final state are lowered, a window opens to observe significant double parton scattering effects, as often stated in the literature on the subject and recently analysed for 4-jet production in the framework of collinear factorization [1]. Here we perform the same analysis in HEF, with the goal to assess the difference in the predictions.

First of all, let us present the standard formula for the computation of DPS cross section for a four-parton final state,

$$\frac{d\sigma_{4-jet,DPS}^B}{d\xi_1 d\xi_2} = \frac{m}{\sigma_{eff}} \sum_{i_1,j_1,k_1,l_1;i_2,j_2,k_2,l_2} \frac{d\sigma^B(i_1 j_1 \rightarrow k_1 l_1)}{d\xi_1} \frac{d\sigma^B(i_2 j_2 \rightarrow k_2 l_2)}{d\xi_2}, \quad (2.4)$$

where the $\sigma(ab \rightarrow cd)$ cross sections are obtained by restricting formulas (2.1) and (2.2) to a single channel and the symmetry factor m is $1/2$ if the two hard scatterings are identical, in order to avoid double counting, and is otherwise 1, whereas ξ_1 and ξ_2 are for generic kinematical variables for the first and second scattering, respectively.

The effective cross section σ_{eff} can be loosely interpreted as a measure of the transverse correlation of the two partons inside the hadrons. In this paper we stick to the widely used value $\sigma_{eff} = 15$ mb.

We also have to use an ansatz for DPDFs, which is, for collinear-factorization,

$$D_{1,2}(x_1, x_2, \mu) = f_1(x_1, \mu) f_2(x_2, \mu) \theta(1 - x_1 - x_2), \quad (2.5)$$

where $D_{1,2}(x_1, x_2, \mu)$ is the DPDF and $f_i(x_i, \mu)$ are the ordinary PDFs. The subscripts 1 and 2 distinguish the two generic partons in the same proton. Of course this ansatz can be automatically generalised to the case when parton transverse momenta are included by simply including the dependence on the transverse momentum.

Coming to DPS contributions, in principle we must include all the possible 45 channels which can be obtained by coupling in all possible distinct ways the 8 channels for the $2 \rightarrow 2$ SPS process, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \#1 &= gg \rightarrow gg, & \#5 &= q\bar{q} \rightarrow q'\bar{q}', \\ \#2 &= gg \rightarrow q\bar{q}, & \#6 &= q\bar{q} \rightarrow gg, \\ \#3 &= qg \rightarrow qg, & \#7 &= qq \rightarrow qq, \\ \#4 &= q\bar{q} \rightarrow q\bar{q}, & \#8 &= qq' \rightarrow qq'. \end{aligned}$$

We find that the pairs (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 7), (1, 8), (3, 3), (3, 7), (3, 8) together account for more than 95 % of the total cross section. This was tested for all the sets of cuts considered in this paper.

2.3. Comparison to the collinear approach and to ATLAS data with hard central kinematic cuts

Our HEF calculation was first tested against the 8 TeV data recently reported by the ATLAS collaboration [7]. The kinematic cuts are $p_T > 100$ GeV for the leading jet and

$p_T > 64$ GeV for the first three subleading jets; in addition $|\eta| < 2.8$ is the pseudorapidity cut and $\Delta R > 0.65$ is the constraint on the jet cone radius parameter.

We employ the running NLO α_s coming with the MSTW2008 sets. For such hard central cuts, not much difference is expected between the two approaches and indeed we find none. Also, DPS effects are irrelevant with this kinematics and this is confirmed by our analysis, which is presented in much more detail in [8]

The collinear factorization performs slightly better for intermediate values and HEF does a better job for the last bins, except for the 4th jet. All in all, both approaches are consistent with the data in this kinematic region.

2.4. Comparison to CMS data with softer cuts

As discussed in Ref. [1], so far the only experimental analysis of four-jet production relevant for the DPS studies was realized by the CMS collaboration [9]. The cuts used in this analysis are $p_T > 50$ GeV for the first and second jets, $p_T > 20$ GeV for the third and fourth jets, $|\eta| < 4.7$ and the jet cone radius parameter $\Delta R > 0.5$. In the rest of this section, we present our results for such cuts.

As for the total cross section for the four jet production, the experimental and theoretical LO results are:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CMS collaboration : } & \sigma_{tot} = 330 \pm 5 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 45 \text{ (syst.) } nb \\ \text{LO collinear factorization : } & \sigma_{SPS} = 697 nb, \quad \sigma_{DPS} = 125 nb, \quad \sigma_{tot} = 822 nb \\ \text{LO HEF } k_T\text{-factorization : } & \sigma_{SPS} = 548 nb, \quad \sigma_{DPS} = 33 nb, \quad \sigma_{tot} = 581 nb \quad (2.6) \end{aligned}$$

It is apparent that the LO results need refinements from NLO contributions, much more than it does in the case of the ATLAS hard cuts, as we are of course not that deep into the perturbative region. For this reason, in the following we will always perform comparisons only to data normalised to the total (SPS+DPS) cross sections. we find that this is better than introducing fixed K-factors, whose phase-space dependence is never really under control. What is immediately apparent in the HEF total cross section is the dramatic damping of the DPS contribution with respect to the collinear case. The effect of the damping is of kinematical nature and can be understood by an analogy of a similar effect first observed in NLO jet photoproduction at HERA [10]. The point is that the emission of gluon radiation, which is taken into account via the TMDs in our approach and via the real contribution in a collinear NLO calculation, alters the exact momentum balance of the final state two-jet system, so that a lot of events are not taken into account for the higher transverse momentum just above the cut. In Fig. 1 we compare the predictions in HEF to the CMS data for the 1st and 2nd leading jets transverse momenta spectra. Here both the SPS and DPS contributions are normalized to the total cross section, i.e. the sum of the SPS and DPS contributions. In all cases the renormalized transverse momentum distributions agree quite well with the CMS data.




Figure 1. Comparison of the LO collinear and HEF predictions to the CMS data for the 1st and 2nd leading jets.

2.5. HEF predictions for a possible set of asymmetric cuts

Moving from the previous considerations, we present our results for four-jet production by employing also another set of cuts, which are asymmetric with respect to the final state transverse momenta. Specifically, we require $p_T > 35$ GeV for the leading jet, $p_T > 20$ GeV for all the other jets and we stick to $|\eta| < 4.7$, $\Delta R > 0.65$ for rapidity and jet size parameter. An experimental analysis with such cuts is not available: while the CMS collaboration did perform the analysis for soft enough cuts as to allow for significant DPS contributions to show up, they did not impose such asymmetry, as discussed above, whereas both analysis presented by the ATLAS collaboration employs too hard cuts for multi-parton interactions to be any significant at all [7]. Of course it would be desirable to have such an analysis in the future.

The theoretical total cross sections for these cuts for four-jet production are:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LO collinear factorization: } \sigma_{SPS} &= 1969 \text{ nb}, \quad \sigma_{DPS} = 514 \text{ nb} \\ \text{LO HEF } k_T\text{-factorization: } \sigma_{SPS} &= 1506 \text{ nb}, \quad \sigma_{DPS} = 297 \text{ nb} \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

When comparing to (2.6), it is apparent that now the drop in the total cross section for DPS when moving from LO collinear to HEF approach is considerably smaller, as argued.

In Fig. 2 we show our predictions for the normalized transverse momentum distributions with the new set of cuts.

3. Conclusions

In the present work we have compared the perturbative predictions for four-jet production at the LHC in leading-order collinear and high-energy (k_T -)factorization. While we find that there is no significant difference between the collinear and HEF approach for hard central cuts, significant differences show up, especially for DPS, when the cuts on




Figure 2. LO collinear and HEF predictions for the 1st and 2nd leading jets with the asymmetric cuts.

the transverse momenta are lowered. We agree with with Ref. [1] that lowering the cut in transverse momenta can significantly enhance the experimental sensitivity to DPS but we also observe that HEF severely tames this effect for symmetric cuts, due to gluon-emission effects which alter the transverse-momentum balance between final state partons. We have found that the damping is not present when cuts are not identical. A more complete treatment of the subjects addressed in this proceeding can be found in [8].

Acknowledgments

The work of M.S. has been supported by Narodowe Centrum Nauki with Sonata Bis grant DEC-2013/10/E/ST2/00656. M.S. also thanks the "Angelo della Riccia" foundation for support.

References

- [1] Rafal Maciula and Antoni Szczurek, "Searching for and exploring double-parton scattering effects in four-jet production at the LHC," *Phys. Lett.*, **B749**(2015), 57, 1503.08022.
- [2] M. A. Kimber, Alan D. Martin, and M. G. Ryskin, "Unintegrated parton distributions," *Phys. Rev.*, **D63**(2001), 114027, [hep-ph/0101348](#).
- [3] M. A. Kimber, Alan D. Martin, and M. G. Ryskin, "Unintegrated parton distributions and prompt photon hadroproduction," *Eur. Phys. J.*, **C12**(2000), 655, [hep-ph/9911379](#).
- [4] A. van Hameren, "BCFW recursion for off-shell gluons," *JHEP*, **07**(2014), 138, 1404.7818.
- [5] M. Bury and A. van Hameren, "Numerical evaluation of multi-gluon amplitudes for High Energy Factorization," *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, **196**(2015), 592, 1503.08612.
- [6] A. van Hameren and M. Serino, "BCFW recursion for TMD parton scattering," *JHEP*, **07**(2015), 010, 1504.00315.

- [7] Georges Aad *et al.* (ATLAS), “Measurement of four-jet differential cross sections in $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV proton-proton collisions using the ATLAS detector,” *JHEP*, **12**(2015), 105, 1509.07335.
- [8] Krzysztof Kutak, Rafal Maciula, Mirko Serino, Antoni Szczurek, and Andreas van Hameren, “Four-jet production in single- and double-parton scattering within high-energy factorization,” *JHEP*, **04**(2016), 175, 1602.06814.
- [9] Serguei Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS), “Measurement of four-jet production in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV,” *Phys. Rev.*, **D89**(2014) (9), 092010, 1312.6440.
- [10] Stefano Frixione and Giovanni Ridolfi, “Jet photoproduction at HERA,” *Nucl. Phys.*, **B507**(1997), 315, hep-ph/9707345.